11th July 1957]

அது ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப் படாத நிலையில் இருக்கிறது. மருத்துவக் கல்லூரிகளிலும், பொறியியல் கல்லூரிகளிலும் அனுமதிப்பதற்கு தாழ்த்தப் பட்டவர்கட்கு இத்தனை மார்க்குகள் போதும் என்று இருப்பதுபோல் இந்த மிகவும் பிற்பட்ட மக்களையும் அனுமதிப்பதனவசியத்தைக் கவனிப்பார்களா ?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: இதில் அங்கத்தினர் அவர்களுக்கு நான் தெரிவித்துக் கொள்ள விரும்புவது என்னவென்றால், " மோஸ்ட் பாக்வேர்ட் " கம்யூனிட்டி என்ற இனத்திற்கு தனியாக ஒன்றும் ரிசர்வ் செய்யமுடியாது. " பாக்வேர்ட் " கம்யூனிட்டீஸ் என்ற அடிப்படையில்தான் ரிசர்வ் செய்யமுடியும் என்பது சட்டபூர்வமாக சொல்லக் கூடிய அபிப்பிராயம். ஆகையால் இதைப் பற்றி பரிசீலனை செய்துதான் ஒரு முடிவிற்கு வரவேண்டி இருக்கிறது. இதைப் பற்றி இந்த வருஷம் ஒரு முடிவும் எடுத்துக் கொள்ளவில்லை. " மோஸ்ட் பாக்வேர்ட் கம்யூனிட்டி " யாக இருந்தாலும், " பாக்வேர்ட் " கம்யூனிட்டி யாக இருந்தாலும் அவைகள் ஒரே இனமாகக் கருதப்பட்டு " பாக்வேர்ட் " கம்யூனிட்டீஸ் பூராவாகச் சேர்ந்து தான் ரிசர்வ் செய்யப்படுகிறது. ஆகவே இதில் சட்ட அடிப்படையில் சில கஷ்டங்கள் இருக்கின்றன என்று தெரிவித்துக் கொள்ள விரும்புகிறேன்.

Maintenance of roads in the City.

* 90 *Q.*—SRI K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR (on behalf of Sri N. K. Palanisami): Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state whether the Corporation of Madras had requested the Government for any grant during 1956 for maintaining the roads in the City?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON: Yes, Sir. The Corporation of Madras asked for the enhancement of the *ex gratia* grant of Rs. 5 lakhs per annum now being sanctioned to it for the efficient maintenance of the roads and other essential civic amenities in the City, to Rs. 20 lakhs per annum. In the alternative, the Corporation requested that a recurring grant equal to 50 per cent of the actual expenditure incurred by it on the maintenance of roads might be sanctioned to it each year. The matter is under the consideration of the Government.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM: Since the roads are put to great and terrible use by the motorist in the metropolis, and the roads have to be maintained and repaired once in three months, will the Government come forward to grant the loan and the grants which the Corporation has requested without keeping the terms under consideration and finally shelving them into the pigeon-holes of the Secretariat?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON: Certainly there is no idea of keeping it in the pigeon-holes of the Secretariat. It will be considered as quickly as possible. That is why it is under consideration.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM: Are the Government aware that to compensate for the maintenance of the roads, the Corporation has requested that at least 50 per cent of the revenue which is derived out of the motor vehicles taxation should be given to the

Corporation? Has that request been considered favourably by the Government? What is the decision which the Government have taken on this request of the Corporation?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON: The Government have just now asked for the remarks of the Chief Engineer, Highways, and it will be considered as soon as it is possible.

MR. SPEAKER: Questions are over.

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

I.—MOTION EXPRESSING WANT OF CONFIDENCE IN THE GOVERNMENT UNDER RULE 54 OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES.

MR. SPEAKER: I have received notice of a motion under Rule 54 of the Assembly Rules from Sri T. S. Ramaswamy Pillai. The motion reads as follows :—

'This House resolves to censure the Government for its wrong, baseless, and misleading propaganda policy on the achievements of the Five-Year Plan in the State'.

Under this Rule the Speaker has first to give his consent and then certain other conditions have got to be fulfilled before this motion of censure can be taken up. Rule 152 says that the budget shall be dealt with in two stages, viz., General discussion and Voting on Demands for Grants. The Speaker, in consultation with the Leader of the House and the Business Advisory Committee shall fix and appoint sufficient number of days for each of these stages provided that not more than eight days are allotted for the General discussion and not more than 20 days for the Voting of Demands. As per Rule 153, five days were fixed for the General discussion and, at the end of the period, the Finance Minister replied. According to Rule 154, I have, in consultation with the Leader of the House and the Business Advisory Committee, fixed the time for discussion on each Demand and also determined the order in which the Demands are to be taken up.

On the days allotted under sub-rule (2) of Rule 152 for the Voting of Demands for Grants no other business shall be taken up before 5 p.m. except with the consent of the Speaker. In the present case this will have to be 2 p.m. as by agreement the time for the sitting of the Assembly is from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Therefore, no free day is available for the discussion of this Censure Motion. Further, opportunities are likely to arise during the course of these 15 days when the House can express its confidence or want of confidence in the Ministry or its opinion on their action. This Censure Motion can be considered under Demand IX —Head of State, Ministers and Headquarters Staff, when it comes up before the House. If necessary, I am prepared to allow the hon. Member Sri T. S. Ramaswamy Pillai to table a cut motion on this subject.